

UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Addiese: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS PO Box 1450 Alexandra, Virginia 22313-1450 www.wepto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.	
10/591,464	09/01/2006	Toshiaki Kudo	295899US0PCT	1860	
23850 OBLON, SPIVAK, MCCLELLAND MAIER & NEUSTADT, P.C. 1940 DUKE STREET			EXAM	EXAMINER	
			SWOPE, SHERIDAN		
ALEXANDRI	A, VA 22314		ART UNIT PAPER NUMBER		
			1652		
			NOTIFICATION DATE	DELIVERY MODE	
			04/00/2009	EI ECTRONIC	

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

The time period for reply, if any, is set in the attached communication.

Notice of the Office communication was sent electronically on above-indicated "Notification Date" to the following e-mail address(es):

patentdocket@oblon.com oblonpat@oblon.com jgardner@oblon.com

Office Action Summary

Application No.	Applicant(s)	
10/591,464	KUDO ET AL.	
Examiner	Art Unit	_
SHERIDAN SWOPE	1652	

C4-4			

31	IERIDAN SWOFE	1032
The MAILING DATE of this communication appear Period for Reply	s on the cover sheet with the c	orrespondence address
A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY IS WHICHEVER IS LONGER, FROM THE MAILING DATE Letensons of time may be available under the provisions of 3 (FR 11-38(q) after SIX (q) MONTHS from the making date of this communication. Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply with by shaller, can any reply received by the Officio later than throe months after the mailing date earned patter therm adjustment. See 37 (FR 17-04(q)).	OF THIS COMMUNICATION In no event, however, may a reply be tin oply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from set the application to become ABANDONE	J. nety filed the mailing date of this communication. D (35 U.S.C. § 133).
Status		
1) Responsive to communication(s) filed on 09 -01-	08.	
2a) This action is FINAL. 2b) This act	ion is non-final.	
3) Since this application is in condition for allowance	except for formal matters, pro	secution as to the merits is
closed in accordance with the practice under Ex p	arte Quayle, 1935 C.D. 11, 45	53 O.G. 213.
Disposition of Claims		
4) ☐ Claim(s) <u>1-11</u> is/are pending in the application.		
4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdrawn t	rom consideration.	
5) Claim(s) is/are allowed.		
6) Claim(s) is/are rejected.		
7) Claim(s) is/are objected to.	41	
8) Claim(s) <u>1-11</u> are subject to restriction and/or elec	tion requirement.	
Application Papers		
9)☐ The specification is objected to by the Examiner.		
10) The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a) accepted	ed or b) objected to by the l	Examiner.
Applicant may not request that any objection to the draw	ving(s) be held in abeyance. See	37 CFR 1.85(a).
Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correction		• • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • •
11)☐ The oath or declaration is objected to by the Exam	iner. Note the attached Office	Action or form PTO-152.
Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119		
12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign price a) All b) Some * c) None of:	ority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)	ı-(d) or (f).
Certified copies of the priority documents have	ive been received.	
2. Certified copies of the priority documents ha		on No
3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority	documents have been receive	ed in this National Stage
application from the International Bureau (P	CT Rule 17.2(a)).	
* See the attached detailed Office action for a list of t	he certified copies not receive	d.
Attachment(s)		
1) Notice of References Cited (PTO-892)	4) Interview Summary	
Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO/S5/08)	Paper No(s)/Mail Da 5) Notice of Informal P	ate atent Application

1)	ш	Notice

Attachment(s)		
Notice of References Cited (PTO-892)	4) Interview Summary (PTO-413)	
Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948)	Paper No(s)/Mail Date	
3). Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO/SE/08)	 Notice of Informal Patent Application 	
Paper No(s)/Mail Date	6) Other:	

Art Unit: 1652

DETAILED ACTION

Claims 1-11 are pending.

Election/Restrictions

Restriction is required under 35 U.S.C. 121 and 372.

This application contains the following inventions or groups of inventions which are not so linked as to form a single general inventive concept under PCT Rule 13.1.

In accordance with 37 CFR 1.499, applicant is required, in reply to this action, to elect a single invention to which the claims must be restricted.

Group I, claim(s) 1-6, drawn to a yeast strain transformed with an Os-1 histidine kinase gene.

Group II, claim(s) 7-11, drawn to a method for identifying filamentous fungus growth inhibitors using a yeast strain transformed with an Os-1 histidine kinase gene.

The inventions listed as Groups I-II do not relate to a single general inventive concept under PCT Rule 13.1 because, under PCT Rule 13.2, they lack the same or corresponding special technical feature for the following reasons: The technical feature linking Groups I-II appears to be that they all relate to Os-1 histidine kinases. However, Os-1 histidine kinases were well known in the art. Moreover, Ochiai et al, 2001 (IDS) teaches that Os-1 kinase expression in os-1- deficient Neurospora renders the fungi sensitive to fungicide (Table 2), while Fujimura et al, 2003 (IDS) teaches that Os-1 kinase homolog in S. cerevisiae is insensitive to fungicide (pg 190, parg 2). The skilled artisan would be motivated to combine said teachings to produce a S. cerevisiae transformant comprising the gene encoding the Neurospora Os-1 kinase and use said transformant for identifying fungicides, which renders obvious the invention of Claims 1 and 3. Therefore Groups I-II share no special technical feature as defined by PCT Rule 13.2, as it does not define a contribution over the prior art. In addition, the methods of Group II do not comprise

Application/Control Number: 10/591,464

Art Unit: 1652

all of the methods for making or using the products of Groups I. Accordingly, Groups I-II are not so linked by the same or a corresponding special technical feature as to form a single general inventive concept.

Restriction for examination purposes as indicated is proper because all these inventions listed in this action are independent or distinct for the reasons given above <u>and</u> there would be a serious search and examination burden if restriction were not required because one or more of the following reasons apply:

- (a) the inventions have acquired a separate status in the art in view of their different classification;
- (b) the inventions have acquired a separate status in the art due to their recognized divergent subject matter;
- (c) the inventions require a different field of search (for example, searching different classes/subclasses or electronic resources, or employing different search queries);
- (d) the prior art applicable to one invention would not likely be applicable to another invention;
- (e) the inventions are likely to raise different non-prior art issues under 35 U.S.C. 101 and/or 35 U.S.C. 112, first paragraph.

Applicant is advised that the reply to this requirement to be complete <u>must</u> include

(i) an election of a invention to be examined even though the requirement may be traversed (37 CFR 1.143) and (ii) identification of the claims encompassing the elected invention.

The election of an invention may be made with or without traverse. To reserve a right to petition, the election must be made with traverse. If the reply does not distinctly and specifically Application/Control Number: 10/591,464

Art Unit: 1652

point out supposed errors in the restriction requirement, the election shall be treated as an election without traverse. Traversal must be presented at the time of election in order to be considered timely. Failure to timely traverse the requirement will result in the loss of right to petition under 37 CFR 1.144. If claims are added after the election, applicant must indicate which of these claims are readable on the elected invention.

If claims are added after the election, applicant must indicate which of these claims are readable upon the elected invention.

Should applicant traverse on the ground that the inventions are not patentably distinct, applicant should submit evidence or identify such evidence now of record showing the inventions to be obvious variants or clearly admit on the record that this is the case. In either instance, if the examiner finds one of the inventions unpatentable over the prior art, the evidence or admission may be used in a rejection under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) of the other invention.

These inventions are distinct for the reasons given above and have acquired a separate status in the art due to their recognized divergent subject matter, as shown by their different classification. Furthermore, as explained above, searching more than one invention would be a burden on the Office. Therefore, restriction for examination purposes, as indicated, is proper. If Applicants should traverse the instant restriction based on an argument that the inventions or sub-inventions are not distinct, they should provide evidence as to why the skilled artisan would find any restricted inventions or sub-inventions obvious over their elected invention and sub-invention.

Restriction between product and process claims has been required. Where Applicant elects claims directed to a product, and the product claim is subsequently found allowable, Application/Control Number: 10/591,464

Art Unit: 1652

withdrawn process claims that depend from or otherwise include all the limitations of the allowable product claim will be rejoined in accordance with the Official Gazette notice dated March 26, 1996 (1184 O.G. 86; see also M.P.E.P. 821.04, *In re* Ochiai, and *In re* Brouwer).

Process claims that depend from or otherwise include all the limitations of the patentable product will be entered as a matter of right, if the amendment is presented prior to final rejection or allowance, whichever is earlier. Withdrawn process claims that are not commensurate in scope with an allowed product claim will not be rejoined. To be allowable, the rejoined claims must meet all criteria for patentability including the requirements of 35 U.S.C. 101, 102, 103, and 112.

Applicant is reminded that upon the cancellation of claims to a non-elected invention, the inventorship must be amended in compliance with 37 CFR 1.48(b) if one or more of the currently named inventors is no longer an inventor of at least one claim remaining in the application. Any amendment of inventorship must be accompanied by a request under 37 CFR 1.48(b) and by the fee required under 37 CFR 1.17(i).

To insure that each document is properly filed in the electronic file wrapper, it is requested that each of amendments to the specification, amendments to the claims, Applicants' remarks, requests for extension of time, and any other distinct papers be submitted on separate pages.

It is also requested that Applicants identify support, within the original application, for any amendments to the claims and specification.

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Sheridan L. Swope whose telephone number is 571-272-0943. The examiner can normally be reached on M-F; 9:30-7 EST.

Application/Control Number: 10/591,464 Page 6

Art Unit: 1652

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Dr. Nashed can be reached on 571-272-0934. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 571-273-8300.

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published application may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on the access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free).

/SHERIDAN SWOPE/ Primary Examiner, Art Unit 1652